



UK Government

CORRECTION NOTICE
MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT GENERATION ASSETS ORDER
2025
SCHEDULE 4 TO THE PLANNING ACT 2008
CORRECTION OF ERRORS IN DEVELOPMENT CONSENT ORDER

DATE: 8 APRIL 2026

The Secretary of State received a request dated 25 September 2025 from Morgan Offshore Wind Limited (“the Applicant”) for the correction of errors in the Morgan Offshore Wind Generation Assets Order 2025 (“the Order”), under section 119 of, and Schedule 4 to, the Planning Act 2008.

The Secretary of State has made the following corrections to the Order:

Schedule 2, paragraph 3(2)(d)

Correction requested by the Applicant: After “the maximum heights of any wind turbine generator, and offshore substation platform to be constructed;” insert “and”

Schedule 2, paragraph 3(2)(e)

Correction requested by the Applicant: After “constructed” substitute “; and” for “.”

Schedule 2, paragraph 5(4)(a)(iii)

Correction requested by BAE Systems: After “operator” for “.” substitute “; and”

Schedule 2, paragraph 5(4)(b)

Correction requested by BAE Systems: In the definition of “operator” after “or any” omit “other”

Schedule 2, paragraph 6(2)(c)

Correction requested by BAE Systems: After “in accordance with sub-paragraph” for “(5)” substitute “(4)”

Schedule 2, paragraph 6(5)

Correction requested by BAE Systems: In the definition of “operator” for “Company Number” substitute “company number”

Schedule 2, paragraph 6(5)

Correction requested by BAE Systems: In the definition of “operator” after “or any” omit “other”

Schedule 2, paragraph 7(2)

Correction requested by BAE Systems: For “Approved ATS Mitigation Scheme” substitute “approved ATS mitigation scheme”

Schedule 2, paragraph 9(1)

Correction requested by the Blackpool Airport: For “Operator” substitute “operator”

Schedule 3, Part 1, paragraph 1

Correction requested by the Applicant: In the definition of “wind turbine generator” after “foundation or transition piece;” insert “; and”

Schedule 3, Part 2, paragraph 15(1)(a)(ii)

Correction requested by the Applicant: After “condition 26;” insert “and”

Schedule 3, Part 2, paragraph 20(1)(e)

Correction requested by the Applicant and the Marine Management Organisation: For “(ee)... (ff)... (gg)... (hh)” substitute “(iv)... (v)... (vi)... (vii)”

Schedule 4, Part 2, paragraph 20(1)(c)(ii)

Correction requested by the Applicant: After “detail on construction monitoring” insert “; and”

Schedule 4, Part 2, paragraph 20(1)(c)(iii)

Correction requested by the Applicant: After “if required;” omit “and”

Schedule 4, Part 2, paragraph 20(1)(e)

Correction requested by the Applicant and the Marine Management Organisation: For “(ee)... (ff)... (gg)... (hh)” substitute “(iv)... (v)... (vi)... (vii)”

Secretary of State’s rationale: Correction of a typographical error.

Part 2, Art 7(11)

Correction requested by the Applicant: After “may amend” for “the deemed marine licence” substitute “deemed marine licence 1 or licence 2”

Secretary of State’s rationale: To correct an error that by omitting reference to deemed marine licence 1 and 2 appeared to suggest that it was only possible to amend both licences.

Schedule 2, paragraph 2(4)

Correction requested by the Applicant: For “parameters in Table 1 do not allow works in relation to wind turbine generators that” substitute “undertaker may not undertake Work No. 1 in a manner which would”

Schedule 3, Part 2, paragraph 10(2)

Correction requested by the Applicant: For “parameters in Table 2 do not allow works in relation to wind turbine generators that” substitute “undertaker may not undertake Work No. 1 in a manner which would”

Secretary of State’s rationale: To more closely align with the drafting of the Order and specifically the definition of ‘authorised development’ which appropriately refers to the applicable section of the Environmental Statement.

Schedule 2, paragraph 2(4)

Correction requested by the Applicant: For “two assessed” substitute “maximum design”

Schedule 3, Part 2, paragraph 10(2)

Correction requested by the Applicant: For “two assessed” substitute “maximum design”

Secretary of State's rationale: To correct an error to amend the wording to properly align with the facts, purpose and approach within Table 3.5 of the Environmental Statement and the wider application for a DCO

Schedule 2, paragraph 3(2)

Correction requested by the Applicant: A new sub-paragraph (3) to be created for the text "The Defence Infrastructure Organisation Safeguarding must be notified of any changes to the information supplied under this paragraph and of the completion of the construction of the scheduled works." The current sub-paragraph (3) to be re-numbered accordingly to sub-paragraph (4).

Secretary of State's rationale: Correction of a drafting error.

Schedule 2, paragraph 5(3)(a)

Correction requested by BAE Systems: After "turbine generator" omit "or any offshore substation platform"

Schedule 2, paragraph 7(3)(a)

Correction requested by BAE Systems: After "turbine generator" omit "or any offshore substation platform"

Secretary of State's rationale: To correct an error that made an incorrect reference to 'offshore substation platform' within Requirement 5

Schedule 2, paragraph 7(4)(b)

Correction requested by BAE Systems: After "communication systems" omit "such scheme to remain in place throughout the lifetime of the authorised development and for so long as any part of the authorised development remains in situ"

Secretary of State's rationale: To remove unnecessary wording to avoid legal uncertainty arising noting that the Approved ATS Mitigation Scheme is already required to remain in place for the lifetime of the authorised development (as defined) pursuant to Schedule 2, paragraph 7(2).

Schedule 2, paragraph 9(2)

Correction requested by the Blackpool Airport: After "approved" omit "appropriate"

Secretary of State's rationale: To provide clarity of drafting and avoid the defined term of 'approved mitigation' going unused

Corrections which the Secretary of State has not made

Part 1, Article 2(1)

Correction requested by the Applicant: In the definition of "offshore substation platform" for "(c)" and "(d)" substitute "(a)" and "(b)"

Schedule 2, paragraph 6(1)

Correction requested by the Applicant: For "6.(1)" substitute "6.-(1)"

Schedule 2, paragraph 7(4)(a)

Correction requested by the Applicant: After "basis" insert "-"

Schedule 2, paragraph 9(3)

Correction requested by the Applicant: In the definition of “operator” after “air traffic services for Blackpool Airport” insert “.”

Schedule 3, Part 1, paragraph 1

Correction requested by the Applicant: In the definition of “offshore substation platform” for “(c)” and “(d)” substitute “(a)” and “(b)”

Schedule 3, Part 1, paragraph 3

Correction requested by the Applicant: After the words “by the environmental statement, including-” for “(c)... (d)... (e)... (f)... (g)... (h)... (i)... (j)... (k)... (l)” substitute “(a)... (b)... (c)... (d)... (e)... (f)... (g)... (h)... (i)... (j)”

Schedule 4, Part 1, paragraph 1

Correction requested by the Applicant: In the definition of “offshore substation platform” for “(c)” and “(d)” substitute “(a)” and “(b)”

Secretary of State’s rationale: These errors were already corrected prior to the King’s Printer version of the DCO being published on legislation.gov.uk. Accordingly, they do not require correction.

Part 2, Article 7(9)(a)(ii)

Correction requested by the Applicant: For “28” substitute “14”

Secretary of State’s rationale: This is not a correctable error and was a change to the DCO made by the ExA intentionally. The Secretary of State agreed with the ExA’s approach.

Part 2, Art 7(9)(a)(iv)

Correction requested by the Applicant: For “paragraph” substitute “sub-paragraph”

Secretary of State’s rationale: This is not an error. The second sub-division of an Article is a paragraph.

Schedule 2, paragraph 5(3)(b)

Correction requested by BAE Systems: After “(howsoever caused) of” insert “,”

Schedule 2, paragraph 6(4)(b)

Correction requested by BAE Systems: After “(howsoever caused) of” insert “,”

Schedule 2, paragraph 6(5)

Correction requested by BAE Systems: In the definition of “operator” after “01996697” insert “,”

Schedule 2, paragraph 6(5)

Correction requested by BAE Systems: In the definition of “operator” after “office is” insert “at”

Schedule 2, paragraph 7(3)(b)

Correction requested by BAE Systems: After “(howsoever caused) of” insert “,”

Schedule 2, paragraph 7(4)

Correction requested by BAE Systems: In the definition of “operator” after “Surrey” insert “ ”

Secretary of State’s rationale: Adding a comma does not resolve any ambiguity, and so this is not a necessary correction.

Schedule 3, Part 2, paragraph 15(1)(b)

Correction requested by the Applicant: For “within” substitute “Within”

Secretary of State’s rationale: Capitalising the word does not resolve any ambiguity, and so this is not a necessary correction.

Schedule 3, Part 2, paragraph 22(3)

Correction requested by the Applicant and the Marine Management Organisation: After “activities” omit “or low order UXO clearance”

Schedule 4, Part 2, paragraph 22(3)

Correction requested by the Applicant and the Marine Management Organisation: After “activities” omit “or low order UXO clearance”

Secretary of State’s rationale: This is not an error due to its inclusion in the decision letter.